Case 1:20-cv-08924-CM Document 1109 Filed 09/18/23 Page 1 of 2

	- 11	C SDNY UMENT	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ELEC	CTRONICALLY FILED	
In Re New York City Policing During Summer 2020 Demonstrations	DAI	20 cv 8924 (CM)(G	WG)
	X		
THIS FILING PERTAINS TO:			
Payne v. DiBlasio, 20 civ. 8924 People v. City, 21 civ. 322 Gray v. City, 21 civ-6610 Rolon v. City, 21 civ. 2548			
	X.		

ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS FOR BRIEFING

McMahon, J.:

After doing some of our own research, I would hope that your briefing would also address the following additional questions:

- 1. How exactly is the PBA (or its membership) prejudiced by the terms of the proposed settlement?
- 2. What does the PBA have to demonstrate in order to establish that its members are prejudiced by the settlement? How can the union satisfy that burden? Are we required to hold a hearing with witnesses? If so, who would those witnesses be?
- 3. What if any obligations does the settlement impose on the PBA or its members?

I look forward to reading your briefs.

Dated: September 18, 2023

U.S.D.J.

BY ECF TO ALL COUNSEL